

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/996,292	11/28/2001	Muthiah Manoharan	ISIS-4804	ISIS-4804 3997	
23377	23377 7590 01/14/2005 EXAMINER				
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP			EPPS FORD, JANET L		
ONE LIBERT 1650 MARKE	Y PLACE, 46TH FLOOI T STREET	R	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	A, PA 19103		1635		
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/200	DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ζ

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	09/996,292	MANOHARAN ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Janet L. Epps-Ford, Ph.D.	1635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 A	lovember 2001 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-40 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b)□ objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) · Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

In response to Applicant's remarks filed 7-19-2004, the examiner provides a more precise 1.

rationale to support the examiner's position that the various species represented by formula V are

in fact patentable distinct species.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentally distinct species of

the claimed invention: Claims 1 and 21 recite the chemical structure of an oligomeric compound

of formula V, however this structure encompasses an exponential number of species of

oligomeric compounds of formula V, note the various substitutions for the W1 and W2 moieties

of formula V. For example, at minimum there are at least 64 different combinations of formula

V simply considering the 8 distinct substitutions for W1 and W2, without considering the various

substitutions for A2, A3, A4 or V1. If we just consider the various substitutions for A2, which

has 18 different possible substituents, the number of different species of formula V increases to

about 1152 distinct species of invention each possessing a distinct structure, and requiring a

separate search and consideration of the prior art. Additionally, claims 15, 18 and 38 recite a

plurality of distinct species of the Bx moiety of the oligomeric compound of formula V.

Furthermore, claim 19 provides numerous substitutions for A1 sugar substituent groups

encompassed by formula V recited in the instant claims.

Considering the fact that each of the various structures encompassed by formula V 3.

requires a separate search, consideration of the prior art, and exhibit unpredictable effects on

various properties of the oligomeric compounds (see for example Figures 4-7, Table XVII, page

Page 2

Art Unit: 1635

97 of the specification as filed, Example 120; note: there are two examples 120), each compound represents a patentably distinct species of formula V.

- Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for 4. prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 2-17, 19-20, 22-37, and 39-40 are generic.
- 5. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 6. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/996,292

Art Unit: 1635

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 10.

examiner should be directed to Janet L. Epps-Ford, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-

0757. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Saturday, Flex Schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John L. LeGuyader can be reached on 571-272-0760. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ord, Ph.D. Jord

Page 4

Art Unit 1635